

## On the Nonuniqueness of Monosplines with Least $L_2$ -Norm

DIETRICH BRAESS

*Institut für Mathematik, Ruhr-Universität, 463 Bochum, West Germany*

*Communicated by Samuel Karlin*

### 1

Let  $\gamma(t, x)$  be an extended totally positive kernel [4] defined on  $T \times X$ , where  $T$  and  $X$  are intervals on the real line. Then a function of the form

$$F(x) = \sum_{\nu=1}^r \alpha_\nu \cdot \gamma(t_\nu, x), \quad \alpha_\nu \in \mathbb{R}, \quad t_\nu \in T, \quad \nu = 1, 2, \dots, r, \quad (1)$$

is called a  $\gamma$ -polynomial of order  $r$  [2]. In connection with the determination of best quadrature formulas there arises the problem of approximating by  $\gamma$ -polynomials those functions in  $L_p(X)$  that have a representation

$$f(x) = \int_T \gamma(t, x) d\mu(t), \quad (2)$$

$d\mu$  being a nonnegative measure. Then

$$m(x) = f(x) - F(x) \quad (3)$$

is called a monospline of order  $r + 1$ , if  $F$  is a  $\gamma$ -polynomial of order  $r$  [3, 5].

In this situation there is a unique solution of the nonlinear approximation problem, if the approximation is understood in the Chebyshev sense. But the question of uniqueness for the monospline of least  $L_p$ -norm was not settled for  $1 < p < \infty$  [1, 5].

Our objective is to prove by an example that uniqueness does not hold in general.

### 2

Set  $X = [-1, +1]$  and let  $L_2(X)$  denote the space of square-integrable functions on  $X$  endowed with the norm  $\|f\| = (f, f)^{1/2}$ , where

$$(f, g) = \int_{-1}^{+1} f(x) g(x) dx.$$

The kernel  $\gamma(t, x) = (1 - tx)^{-1}$  is extended totally positive on

$$(-1, +1) \times [-1, +1].$$

Therefore,

$$f(x) = f_s(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{1 - sx} + \frac{1}{1 + sx} \right), \quad 0 \leq s < 1, \quad (4)$$

admits a representation (2) with the measure  $d\mu$  concentrated on the points  $+s$  and  $-s$ . We consider the case  $r = 1$ . Then the approximation problem is equivalent to the minimization of the function

$$\rho(\alpha, t) = \left\| f - \frac{\alpha}{1 - tx} \right\|^2 = \left( f - \frac{\alpha}{1 - tx}, f - \frac{\alpha}{1 - tx} \right), \\ \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \quad -1 < t < +1. \quad (5)$$

Because of the symmetry relation  $f(x) = f(-x)$  we know that optimality of  $(\alpha, t)$  implies that  $(\alpha, -t)$  is also optimal. Hence, if uniqueness is assumed, then the  $t$ -coordinate of the solution is zero. Moreover, if we fix  $t$ , then  $\rho(\alpha, t)$  is quadratic in  $\alpha$  and  $\rho$  attains its minimum at

$$\alpha = \alpha_t = \frac{(f, (1 - tx)^{-1})}{((1 - tx)^{-1}, (1 - tx)^{-1})}. \quad (6)$$

Inserting (4) and  $t = 0$ , we obtain

$$\alpha_0 = \frac{\int_{-1}^{+1} 1 \cdot f \cdot dx}{\int_{-1}^{+1} 1 \cdot dx} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{+1} \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{1 - sx} + \frac{1}{1 + sx} \right) dx \\ = \frac{1}{2s} \log \frac{1 + s}{1 - s} > 0. \quad (7)$$

The function  $\rho$  is differentiable with respect to  $t$ . We have

$$\rho_t(\alpha, t) = -2\alpha \cdot (x(1 - tx)^{-2}, f - \alpha(1 - tx)^{-1}); \\ \rho_{tt}(\alpha, t) = 2\alpha \cdot (x^2 \cdot (1 - tx)^{-3}, 3\alpha \cdot (1 - tx)^{-1} - 2f).$$

We check the second derivation at  $(\alpha_0, 0)$ :

$$\frac{1}{2\alpha_0} \cdot \rho_{tt}(\alpha_0, 0) = \int_{-1}^{+1} 3\alpha_0 x^2 dx - \int_{-1}^{+1} x^2 \left( \frac{1}{1 - sx} + \frac{1}{1 + sx} \right) dx \\ = \left( \frac{1}{s} - \frac{2}{s^3} \right) \log \frac{1 + s}{1 - s} + \frac{4}{s^2}, \\ < \frac{1}{s^2} \left( 4 - \log \frac{1 + s}{1 - s} \right), \quad 0 < s < 1. \quad (8)$$

The value is positive for small  $s$ , but it tends to  $-\infty$ , as  $s$  tends to 1. In particular, it is negative for  $s \geq 0.97$ .

We conclude that for  $s \geq 0.97$  the point  $(\alpha_0, 0)$  is not a minimum, but only a saddle point of  $\rho$ . Hence, there are at least two monosplines of least  $L_2$ -norm. Moreover, we know that the best approximations are not symmetric functions.

The preceding investigation established nonuniqueness for a function  $f$  that is represented according to (2) with  $d\mu$  concentrated on two points. Observe that we have also nonuniqueness for all symmetric functions in a sufficiently small neighborhood of  $f$ . In particular, this neighborhood also contains functions of the form (2) for which the measure is not concentrated on a finite number of points.

### 3

A similar investigation can be performed for the extended totally positive kernel  $\gamma(t, x) = e^{tx}$ ,  $X = [-1, +1]$ ,  $T = \mathbb{R}$  and the one-parameter family of functions

$$f(x) = f_s(x) = \frac{1}{2}(e^{sx} + e^{-sx}), s \geq 0.$$

With  $\rho(\alpha, t) = \|f - \alpha \cdot e^{tx}\|^2$  we verify that  $\rho_{tt}(\alpha_0, 0)$  is negative, whenever  $s \geq 6$ .

*Note added in proof.* A similar analysis may be performed for the Hilbert space of functions analytic in the unit disc and square integrable on its boundary. Put

$$\|f\|^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(e^{i\varphi})|^2 d\varphi,$$

and consider the kernel from Section 2. For the approximation problem with  $r = 1$  we have uniqueness if  $f$  is a symmetric function and possesses a representation (2) with  $d\mu$  being nonnegative and concentrated on the subinterval  $[-\frac{1}{2}, +\frac{1}{2}]$ . This result is sharp because we have nonuniqueness for  $f_s$  whenever  $\frac{1}{2} < s < 1$ . — Extensions to unsymmetric functions and to  $r \geq 2$  are not known.

### REFERENCES

1. R. B. BARRAR AND H. L. LOEB, Analytic extended monosplines *Numer. Math.* **22** (1974), 119–125.
2. D. BRAESS, Chebyshev' approximation by  $\gamma$ -polynomials, *J. Approximation Theory* **9** (1973), 20–43.
3. D. BRAESS, Rationale Interpolation, Normalität und Monosplines, *Numer. Math.* (1974).
4. S. KARLIN, "Total Positivity," Stanford University Press, Stanford, 1968.
5. S. KARLIN, On a class of best nonlinear approximation problems, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **78** (1972), 43–49.